Clinicians are often confronted with changes in the anatomy of the local site following tooth extraction. Successful management of the extraction socket can be challenging, particularly in the aesthetic zone. Proper management is necessary to ensure that the implant used to support a prosthesis will remain stable. This article will recommend a classification system for various types of extraction sockets. A simple, noninvasive approach to the grafting and management of sockets when soft tissue is present but the buccal plate is compromised following tooth extraction will also be discussed.

Learning Objectives:
This article discusses a classification system for extraction sockets and a noninvasive approach for grafting. Upon reading this article, the reader should:
• Understand the proposed classification system, which addresses three different types of sockets.
• Become more familiar with the steps involved in a socket-repair technique for Type II sockets.
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A functional, highly aesthetic implant restoration has become the goal of therapy for both patients and clinicians, which coincides with the greater demand for quicker and less invasive implant procedures. The first step in the transition from a failing tooth to an implant-supported prosthesis is the extraction of the tooth and management of the extraction socket. Various treatment options have been proposed, including extraction with or without socket preservation surgery, immediate implant placement, and delayed implant placement with or without ridge augmentation. Multiple techniques have been used to treat extraction sockets. These techniques range from using full flaps to no flaps to utilizing different types of grafts (if any), bone replacement materials, and membranes (e.g., absorbable, nonabsorbable).

One of the primary factors determining which treatment to select in the aesthetic zone is the presence and degree of soft tissue recession on the tooth being extracted, and the presence or absence of the buccal plate of bone. Several publications have based treatment recommendation of the socket (i.e., immediate or delayed implant placement), with or without augmentation procedures, on the socket morphology following tooth extraction. This article presents both a new, simple classification of extraction sockets and an easy noninvasive approach to the grafting of sockets when soft tissue is present but the buccal plate is partially or totally missing after extraction.

**Classification of Extraction Sockets**

There have been a number of proposed systems to classify extraction sockets. Some of these are very detailed and intricate for routine clinical use. Following years of socket research and analysis, it has become obvious to the authors that although there are multiple variables present with every extraction socket, the key factor determining the quality of the socket following extraction is the presence or absence of the buccal hard and soft tissue. Therefore, a new simplified classification will be proposed. This classification should allow easier documentation and better communication between clinicians, researchers, and authors. The classification is divided into three socket types.
Type I sockets are the easiest and most predictable to treat. Most of the cases seen demonstrating excellent aesthetics with implants are Type I sockets. This is particularly true if the soft tissue profile is thick and flat as opposed to a highly scalloped, thin profile. Type III sockets, however, are very difficult to treat and require soft tissue augmentation with additional grafts of connective tissue, or connective tissue and bone, in a staged approach to rebuild lost tissue. These cases are associated with soft tissue recession and loss of the buccal plate on the tooth prior to extraction. Sockets in this classification require very high dental experience, skill, and time commitment for success.

Type II sockets are oftentimes the most difficult to diagnose. These sockets can be very deceptive, as the inexperienced clinician may make the mistake of treating it as a Type I socket. This often leads to a less than ideal aesthetic result. The largest group of aesthetic problems comes from improper treatment of Type II sockets because of the post-treatment soft tissue recession that may occur. This is particularly true when immediate implant placement is performed. Many different procedures have been suggested to treat sockets of this type. This article will present a treatment for Type II sockets that should be easier, less complicated, and equally predictable compared to more difficult techniques.

Rationale for New Socket Repair Technique
The ideal technique for restoring the buccal plate of bone after tooth extraction should be simple, minimally invasive, and preserve the attached gingiva and soft tissue contours. Moreover, this should be achieved with minimal surgery.

- **Type I Socket.** The facial soft tissue and buccal plate of bone are at normal levels in relation to the cementoenamel junction of the pre-extracted tooth and remain intact post-extraction (Figure 1).
- **Type II Socket.** Facial soft tissue is present but the buccal plate is partially missing following extraction of the tooth.
- **Type III Socket.** The facial soft tissue and the buccal plate of bone are both markedly reduced after tooth extraction.

Figure 7. Patient presented with pain and mobility of tooth #8(11), which was diagnosed as hopeless due to horizontal and vertical root fractures.
The socket repair technique proposed in this article is intended for type II sockets, where a significant amount of the buccal plate is missing following extraction.

Step 1. Once the tooth is diagnosed as hopeless (Figure 2), it is removed atraumatically. This should be performed utilizing flapless extraction with care not to disturb the interproximal papillae and labial soft tissue.

Step 2. The socket is then debrided with surgical curettes, and any infected tissue is removed. A finger should be placed over the buccal tissue when curetting the buccal part of the socket to prevent perforation of the soft tissue.

Step 3. A collagen membrane is contoured into a modified V-shape (Figure 3). The membrane should be strong so that it can be sutured and maintain a long absorption time to allow for guided bone regeneration. The membrane must also be firm enough to allow insertion into the socket without collapsing. The barrier used with this technique is an absorbable collagen membrane that can be sutured without tearing. The narrow part of the trimmed membrane (ie, a V-shaped cone) is placed into the socket and should be wide enough to extend laterally past the defect in the buccal wall. Placing the membrane on the external aspect of the buccal wall could compromise its blood supply and cause an increased chance of resorption. The wider part of the membrane should be trimmed and be able to cover the opening of the socket following graft placement.

Step 4. Following final shaping, the membrane is positioned into the socket lining the buccal tissues. The socket is then filled with a bone graft; pressure from the graft against the membrane will help keep it in place and push out the contour of the buccal tissue (Figure 4). Ideally, the graft material should be compressed into the socket and remain in place. The graft material recommended for this technique is a small-particle, mineralized cancellous freeze-dried bone allograft (ie, 0.25 mm to 1 mm). This graft material should be hydrated for five minutes and retain enough moisture for the particles to aggregate when inserted. This allograft material compresses well and, because it is mineralized, slowly resorbs. It also helps keep the shape of the socket while new bone repopulates and fills the socket during healing.

Step 5. After the graft is compressed, the top part of the membrane is extended over the opening of the socket. The membrane is then sutured with two or three

Figure 9. The trimmed socket repair membrane was adapted in the extraction socket.

Figure 10. Compressed small particle graft (ie, Puros, Zimmer Dental, Carlsbad, CA) in place within the socket.

Figure 11. Once the graft material was placed, the soft tissue was sutured palatally with 5-0 absorbable sutures.

Figure 12. A removable “flipper” was placed with no pressure on the membrane.
5.0 absorbable sutures to the palatal tissue (Figure 5). No sutures are needed on the buccal aspect since the membrane is kept in place from the pressure of the graft against the buccal tissue.

Discussion
This minimally invasive technique satisfies the critical requirements needed for socket repair. By not reflecting or coronally advancing the buccal flap, there is no change in the mucogingival junction (MGJ) position. This is particularly important in the aesthetic zone, where coronal advancement of the MGJ often requires subsequent apical repositioning with additional surgery following socket healing to re-establish a band of keratinized tissue on the buccal aspect of the implant and ideal MGJ.

In considering use of the membrane in this technique, it is important for the practitioner to understand how the objectives of guided bone regeneration and socket preservation differ. In the former, the goal is formation of new bone. In socket preservation, however, the goal is to maintain both hard and soft tissue levels. The purpose of the membrane is to contain the graft, which in turn prevents invasion of soft tissue into the socket.

By placing the membrane inside the socket, the periosteum is not detached from the remaining buccal plate, which will occur if a flap is reflected exposing the buccal plate. The clinician is still able to push the buccal tissues facially by compressing the graft properly. Therefore, the buccal tissue contours are not compromised. Moreover, placing the membrane inside the socket before the graft is placed results in particle containment and maintains the soft tissue morphology. The buccal tissue is prevented from migrating into the healing socket and, therefore, bone cells from the socket walls can now repopulate the defect forming new bone (Figure 6).

Placement of the membrane in the socket covers a portion of the buccal wall. This allows the other three walls to contribute to the repopulation of the socket and healing of the graft. The absorbable membrane will block the overlying soft tissue from repopulating the defect. It will then resorb over a period of four months, preventing soft tissue from the buccal aspect (in the area of the defect) from penetrating into the graft material.

The coronal part of the membrane that is left exposed will start to resorb over the course of the first...